



www.AmericanAlbacore.com
4364 Bonita Rd Box 311
Bonita, CA. 91902
P (619) 941 2307 F (619) 863 5046

WHAT AAFA IS DOING FOR YOU – 2020

This new format is designed to be more user friendly and more conscious of your time. It will be submitted on a monthly basis and will be a living document in the sense that we will be adding to (or deleting from) it throughout the course of the month. Text which is new for a given month, will be presented in red so that you won't be burdened with rereading content previously published.

Through **May 7, 2020**

COVID-19

It goes without saying that COVID-19 has, is having, and will have profound impacts on the fishing industry. For purposes of this part of the Report – I will break down what has transpired by various groupings.

Industry response:

- On March 24 an industry letter (with over 180 signatures) was to a wide range of folks in DC – including Congress, Dept of Commerce and the White House.
- Saving Seafood created a website (which is being updated as necessary) designed to keep industry (and others informed) about all things COVID-19. It is available here.
- **During the month of April many letters were sent to Congress seeking additional funds for “fishery participants” ranging for anywhere between \$4B to \$20B. Supposedly another stimulus package is in the works in DC.**

Legislative response:

- On March 27, The CARES Act (H.R. 748) was signed into law.
 - §12005 outlines ASSISTANCE TO FISHERY PARTICIPANTS. In short, \$300M has been appropriated to “provide assistance to Tribal, subsistence, commercial, and charter fishery participants affected by the novel coronavirus (COVID–19), which may include direct relief payments.” As of the date of this Report, Guidance for how these funds will be distributed has not yet been released. AAFA was contacted by folks at the Southwest Fisheries Science Center as they are working to gather information to help inform the Dept of Commerce’s Guidance. For your convenience,
 - **On May 7 we learned of the specific dollar amounts allocated to the various states. Washington was allocated \$50M, California - \$18.35M and Oregon - \$16M. While we know the specific dollar amounts – we do not know how this will be distributed by the States. As a reminder – in order to qualify for assistance, you will have to be able to show a “economic revenue losses greater than 35 percent as compared to the prior five-year average.” We are still awaiting further guidance from NMFS or the States on how this will be determined (ie – monthly revenues v annual revenues, etc).**
 - There are two other Sections within the CARES Act which may prove helpful to AAFA members: The Paycheck Protection Program (§1102) and the Emergency EDIL Grant Program (§1109). I have reviewed each of these programs and they are loans, offered by the SBA, which

can be forgiven over time. Each of these are very specific to an individual borrower – so your best bet is to talk to an SBA Loan Rep with your current bank. **As of today, there are still funds available under the Paycheck Protection Program.**

Regulatory response:

- NMFS: Has waived some observer requirements. A coalition of fishery groups are working to have that waiver extended and expanded beyond what is currently in place – waiver at the discretion of the Regions. Within the West Coast Region, two waivers have been sought and none granted. The PFMC is in the process of finalizing a letter to NMFS requesting the waiver be extended to all fisheries where observers are required until the Federal Government relaxes its Social Distancing Guidelines.
- California: **stepped up for California fishermen at the April PFMC meeting.**
- **The PFMC has since devoted a page on its website for dissemination of information re COVID-19. See - <https://www.pcouncil.org/the-cares-act-and-other-fishing-assistance-related-to-covid-19/>.**
- **The State of Alaska implemented Health Mandate 017 (Protective Measures for Independent Commercial Fishing Vessels) which was a topic of the April 30 AAFA webinar.**
- **AAFA will monitor developments in Ca, Or and Wa as well as any protocols in place by any offloaders.**

North Pacific Albacore – Management Strategy Evaluation (“MSE”)

- We don't envision any work on the MSE until later this year (at the earliest) after the Stock Assessment is finalized

US – Canada Pacific Albacore Treaty

- AAFA participated in two US Government Treaty-related calls during the month of January. (1) The postponed 2019 annual Pacific Albacore Treaty consultation; and (2) A call with Dave Hogan clarifying items contained in our May 2019 letter seeking additional information from the Canadian Govt.
- AAFA in communications with Dept of State and Commerce seeking clarification on outstanding Treaty related issues: Proposed MPA off West Coast of Canada and 2019 fishery data.
- AAFA was represented at the March PFMC meeting where the Treaty was discussed. AAFA's concerns were included in the Highly Migratory Species Advisory Subpanel's Statement. The Council, generally, supported the HMSAS Statement and encouraged the State Department representative to address them.
- AAFA had a sit-down discussion with the State Department representative and conveyed our concerns.
- AAFA signed on to an industry letter to the State Department seeking only a one-year regime given uncertainty surrounding (1) border issues; (2) the 2020 Stock Assessment; (3) status of the Pacific Offshore area of Concern; and (4) ongoing matters re a US vessel which had its catch seized last year. To date – there has been no formal response to this letter.
- **The 2020 Data Working Group call was held on April 22. AAFA was well represented on the call and asked a number of pertinent questions which may result in additional data sets being considered in the future.**
- **On May 7, AAFA sent a letter to Dave Hogan indicating our displeasure that the March 19 industry letter had not yet been responded to.**
- **A call has been scheduled for May 12 where the State Dept will provide an update on the renewal of the reciprocal fishing and port access regime under the U.S.-Canada Albacore Treaty.”**

UN Intergovernmental Conference on Marine Biodiversity of Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction – ABNJ/BBNJ

- The 4th Session of the Intergovernmental Conference has been scheduled for this Spring. An updated draft of the ABNJ Text is available at - <https://undocs.org/en/a/conf.232/2020/3>
- AAFA submitted written comments to and participated in a public meeting on BBNJ for U.S. stakeholders on February 25, 2020.
- The 4th Session (which was scheduled to take place in March/April) has been postponed. As of today, it has not been rescheduled.

Domestic Management – PFMC

- AAFA participated in the January 23 and 24, TNC/PFMC workshop entitled, *Developing Future Scenarios for Climate Change in the California Current Ecosystem*. This is part of the Council’s Climate and Communities Initiative under its Fishery Ecosystem Plan. It was made clear that this scenario planning will not result in any immediate regulations; but is designed to describe possible responses to events which may occur in the future. While some of the items covered were surely climate related (warming oceans and sea level rise) others were not (trade policies, changing values, etc).
- **The June PFMC will be held via webinar. At present, the only HMS item on the Agenda will be International Management.**

Wind farms off the West Coast

- Representative from west coast membership of RODA are working to establish lines of communication with the Southwest Fisheries Science Center and the West Coast Region of NMFS.
- AAFA is reviewing the February 7 Notice of Availability published by the California Energy Commission (“CEC”) entitled, “Outreach on Additional Considerations for Offshore Wind off the Central Coast of California.” **The CEC recently extended the public comment period for this until July 31 pending additional engagement and stakeholder outreach.**
- Two meetings which AAFA will attend: March 9 – BOEM California Intergovernmental Renewable Energy Task Force Meeting; and April 2 - BOEM Oregon Intergovernmental Renewable Energy Task Force Meeting.
- The April 2nd BOEM Oregon meeting was postponed and has not yet been rescheduled.
- AAFA is now a member of RODA.


POSSIBLE IUU North Pacific Albacore Harvest

- The USCG has created a form which allows you provide report observed incidents of illegal behavior you encounter in your travels. While the form is labelled as being specific to the Treaty, that is not the case. I strongly advise keeping a few copies of this form on your boat – or have it readily accessible on your vessel’s computer. The impression I got from the USCG is that there is an increased effort to go after high seas fishery violators (individual vessels and the nations they are flagged under). Make sure the AAFA office receives any copies of forms you submit.
- There is a planned call with USCG, NMFS and industry stakeholders to address this and AAFA will be participating. **This call is scheduled for May 18.**

The Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary

- **This was added here under the assumption it will be a recurring item through October of this year.**
- **In 2015, an area off the coast of Morro Bay was nominated to be a national marine sanctuary. The nomination will expire in October of this year (by law, a nomination must be acted upon within 5 years from submission or it expires). The picture below shows the area under consideration.**

Proposed Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary



Other items which arose previously and continued through **May 7**.

This section will appear monthly and highlights issues, etc that aren't likely recurring items

- Executive Order on Promoting American Seafood Competitiveness and Economic Growth: On May 7, the President issued the preceding Executive Order. While we have not yet had an opportunity to fully digest how this will help AAFA members; it does appear to be VERY pro-aquaculture.
- IMO Numbers and placement on vessels. There was some confusion regarding whether and where you need to display your IMO numbers. Those regulations are promulgated by NMFS and a NMFS employee sent the following: “our HMS marking regs are at [50 CFR 660.704](#) the regs at [50 CFR 300.336](#) apply to high seas permitted vessels and should not conflict. NMFS does not require the IMO number to be marked on the vessel that I am aware of.” I will note – “official number” as used in those regulations means “documentation number issued by the USCG or the certificate number issued by a state or by the USCG for an undocumented vessel.”
- Bornstein's in Astoria announced part of its workforce became infected with COVID-19. We will be monitoring whether Wa., Or. or Ca. consider measures like those implement in Alaska (described above).
- Those of you who rely upon non-citizen crew may want to start thinking about alternatives should the impacts of the Coronavirus make it impossible for foreign crew to get to the U.S

Upcoming meetings:

Given COVID-19 previously scheduled meetings are being postponed. AAFA remains vigilant in ensuring we have representation (in person or via written comments).